

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/534,704	12/06/2005	Caspar Asmus	449122081300	6901
25227	7590 11/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			FISHMAN, MARINA	
1650 TYSON SUITE 300	S BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		2832	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/200	6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) ASMUS ET AL.			
	10/534,704	ASMUS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marina Fishman	2832	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			, .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	<u> Pecember 2005</u> .					
,	s action is non-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2006 is/are: a)						
<ul> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the</li> </ul>						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	xammer. Note the attach	Sa Cinice Action of Ionn 1 10	102.			
•		C 440(a) (d) an (5)	•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tage			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	ot received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/18/2005</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/534,704

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### General status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 8 are pending in the case and are being examined.

# **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "contact carrier has a hollow cylindrical wall section" as recited in Claim 1, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Application/Control Number: 10/534,704

Art Unit: 2832

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 8, it is not clear what is meant by "rounded off edges having a defined radius."

In Claim 4, it is not clear what is meant by "the diameter of the rounded hole is in a region of slot width of the slots".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 6 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zückler [US 4,667,070] in view of Aoki [US 4,806,714].

Regarding Claim 1, Zückler discloses a contact piece of a contact arrangement

Application/Control Number: 10/534,704

Art Unit: 2832

for interrupting a current in a distribution system comprising:

- a contact carrier [20] which has a hollow-cylindrical section [6, 21] and a base wall [12];

 a contact disk [8] which lies opposite the base wall in a longitudinal direction, slots [14] being provided for producing a magnetic field.

Regarding Claim 1, Zückler discloses the instant claimed invention except for rims of the slots have rounded-off edges having a defined radius. Aoki discloses a contact disk having slots, and rims of the slots have rounded-off edges having a defined radius [r1, r2, Figure 5]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide rims of the slots with rounded-off edges having a defined radius in disk of Zuckler, as suggested by Aoki, in order to better wear characteristic [Aoki, column 2, line 46 - 48]. Regarding Claim 2, Zuckler does not disclose the radius of the rounded edges being function of voltage distribution. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide appropriate radius for the edges, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Regarding Claims 6 - 8, Zuckler discloses slots in the disk as well as base section and the slots in the wall section [6], and the slots are inclined with respect to longitudinal direction.

8. Claims 3 - 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zückler [US 4,667,070] in view of Aoki [US 4,806,714], as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aoki et al. [US 4,324,960] and Kurosawa et al. [US 4,210,790].

Regarding Claims 3 - 5, Zückler in view of Aoki'714 disclose the instant claimed invention except for slot ends having rounded hole, the width of the rounded hole equal to the slot width, and the slot end being rectangular. Aoki et al. '960 disclose slots ends with rounded holes and the end having width equal to the width of the slot and Kurosawa et al. discloses slot ends being rectangular. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide slot ends with rounded hole and the width of the rounded hole equal to the slot width in the disk of Zuckler as suggested by Aoki et al. or provide the rectangular slot ends as suggested by Kurosawa et al. in order to optimize performance characteristic of the contact.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nishijima et al. [US 6,870,118], Kimura et al. [US 6,479,778], Haas [US 6,140,599] Peche et al. [US 4,453,054] all disclose contact arrangement. Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action. Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied upon in a subsequent action to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,704 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marina Fishman October 24, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10/31/06